THE GLOBAL FARMLAND GRAB BY PENSION FUNDS NEEDS TO STOP

Action to present pension fund manager TIAA with a petition denouncing TIAA’s investments in farmland, April 2017.
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Money from pension funds has fuelled the financial sector’s massive move into farmland investing over the past decade. The number of pension funds involved in farmland investment and the amount of money they are deploying into it is increasing, under the radar. This unprecedented take-over of farmland by financial companies has major implications for rural communities and food systems, and must be challenged. Leaving it to the companies to police themselves with their own voluntary guidelines is a recipe for disaster.

Back in 2011, at the height of the scramble for farmland around the world, GRAIN released a report on pension funds. We wanted to highlight the involvement of pension funds in this rush for farmland, not only because they were one of the main sources of funding for companies acquiring large areas of farmland but also because of the opportunities they provide for action. Pension fund managers, who handle massive amounts of money, are supposed to be accountable to the workers whose retirement savings they manage, making them, we hoped, more susceptible to social pressure than other farmland grabbers.

Much has happened over the past seven years. As we discussed in another report last year, the pace of the global farmland grab has slowed. Community resistance, bad press and investor incompetence have all played a role in reducing the scope and number of large-scale farmland deals in most parts of the world. But the phenomenon has not gone away, and, unfortunately, within the financial sector, farmland has become an “alternative” option for managers looking for diversification and new income streams. Pension funds, more than any other financial actor, are responsible for making this happen. Without cash injections from pension fund managers, most of the farmland funds in operation today would not have survived or come into existence.

Farmland’s allure for pension funds

There is, to our knowledge, no comprehensive database of pension fund investment in farmland. We combed through the available public documentation and relevant journals to try and piece together as complete a picture as we possibly could (see Table 1). We identified 76 public and corporate pension funds with investments in farmland, managed either in-house or by external fund managers. We estimate that these pension funds had allocated roughly US$14.8 billion to farmland investments by August 2018.

This total sits at the top of the range we estimated back in 2011 and it compares with those of other industry sources. The financial analyst company, Preqin, produced a study in 2016 in which it identified 100 unlisted “agriculture/farmland-focused funds” that had closed since 2006, raising approximately US$ 22 billion.

Farmland funds are typically managed by a financial company which pools the money of different investors to acquire farmlands and then manage the farms on their behalf, either by leasing the lands out to farmers or hiring farm management companies. In some cases, the farmland fund manager may own the company running the farms. Preqin found that the largest proportion of investors in these farmland funds were pension funds, both public pension funds (20%) and private (12%). Our data would suggest that the weight of pension funds in overall farmland investment is much higher.

1. GRAIN, “Pension funds: key players in the global farmland grab,” 20 June 2011: https://www.grain.org/article/entries/4287-pension-funds-key-players-in-the-global-farmland-grab
4. Table 1: Pension funds with farmland investments (updated to August 2018), available at: https://goo.gl/R1S3ya
5. We did not include equity investments in agribusiness companies, such as oil palm plantation companies, unless the company was specifically set up to profit from rising farmland values, such as Black Earth Farming Ltd.
Our data also provide some important revelations about geography (see map). On the one hand, most of the money going into farmland is coming from North American and European pension funds, with pension funds from the United States (US) outpacing those of any other country. This is partly a reflection of the overall size of US pension funds, which account for nearly half of all assets held by the world’s pension funds. US pension funds are also more involved in so-called “alternative investments” than pension funds in other parts of the world, and natural resources (i.e. timberlands and farmlands) are now common components of an alternatives portfolio.

But European pension funds are also shifting to alternatives, largely as a solution to low-yielding bonds, as are big pension funds from other parts of the world, including China, South Korea, Malaysia, Australia and New Zealand. Chile just removed regulations that had previously blocked its sizeable state pension funds from investing in alternatives.

---

pension funds made a major move into agribusiness this October, when the funds teamed up with a black-economic empowerment financial group to buy out Africa’s biggest cattle feedlot and abattoir. In June 2018, the Japanese government gave the Government Pension Investment Fund, the world’s biggest pool of pension money, authorisation to participate in limited partnership schemes for alternative assets, which gives it much greater potential to invest in alternatives, including farmland. In April 2018, in what could be the start of a trend among Japanese financial companies, Nippon Life Insurance became the first Japanese company to make a sizeable investment in an overseas farmland fund.

The other conclusion to draw about geography relates to where pension funds are acquiring farmland. They have confined their farmland acquisitions to North America, Europe, Australia, New Zealand and parts of South America where there are functioning land markets and sufficient infrastructure for commodity exports. Pension funds are more averse to investing in Africa and other places where lands are less privatised, the infrastructure is less developed and they are more liable to accusations of land grabbing. In those parts of the world, most large-scale land deals are being carried out by agribusiness companies, often with financing from development banks and support from their home governments.

But there is clearly a spectrum of risk pension funds are prepared to take. Brazil, for example, is a major target for pension fund farmland acquisitions, yet it ranks highest in the world for land conflicts resulting from agribusiness. Eastern European farmland is another important target for pension fund managers, even though the land markets there are notoriously corrupt and riddled with fraud, and some pension funds have already been caught in scandals.

What attracts pension funds to these places, and what will continue to attract them there, is the potential for profits. In these frontiers for agribusiness expansion, where peasants and indigenous peoples are being displaced to make way for plantations, lots of money can be made speculating on land prices (see Graphic 2). So, while most pension funds are currently favouring farmland investments in their own countries, it appears that many view this as a trial run for farmland investments overseas, where bigger profits can eventually be reaped.

People should pay heed to this. The number of pension funds involved in farmland investment and the amount of money they are deploying into it is increasing, under the radar. Pension funds, both foreign and domestic, have quietly taken over huge swaths of farmland in the US, Australia and other industrial countries, without much pushback, even though the implications for rural communities are significant. We estimate that the amount of money pensions funds are currently putting into US farmland is enough to buy roughly 1 in 10 of the farms expected to come on the market in the US over the next five years. Combine this with the purchases of farmland that other financial companies and wealthy individuals like Bill Gates are making and the fact that a huge number of farmers in these countries are in their old age without plans to transfer their farms to their children, and the stage is set for a massive transfer of land from small farmers to financial institutions.

corporations— in the near total absence of public debate and regulation.

More work is urgently needed to expose the impacts pension fund investment in farmland is having in the US and other industrial countries. Not only because of how it affects rural communities in these countries, but also because, if these investments are not challenged, pension funds will be emboldened to make riskier farmland purchases overseas.

**Pulling the plug on pension fund investment in farmland**

Pension funds are susceptible to bad publicity. We have seen this concretely in the work we and others have done on this issue over the past years. The problem however is that pension funds have, by and large, responded by ramping up their public relations efforts about their responsible investment practices— even when confronted with clear evidence that they are not even following their own guidelines. They have not, with only one exception that we know of, pulled their money out of their farmland deals.

Some pension fund managers are too invested in global farmland to expect that they will pull out. TIAA, the “largest global farmland investor”, has built a mini-empire of farms around the world, with cash supplied by numerous pension funds from different countries and from different sectors (from civil servants to doctors). Its public response to clear evidence that it was contributing to environmental destruction and land grabs in Brazil was to deny it, and then add a few extra pages to its responsible farmland investment report the following year.

The exposure and on-going pressure, including from its pension fund holder clients, has most likely made it more difficult for TIAA to raise funds for its global

---

farmland funds, and it is noticeable that it seems to have put a pause on investments in the northeast of Brazil, where its connections to land grabbing were exposed. It has also ceded to demands that it, at the very least, publish information about the location of its farmland holdings by creating a “Farmland map” for its properties.\footnote{See https://www.nuveen.com/institutional/farmland-map} This was a useful achievement; it makes it possible for groups to keep exposing the issues with TIAA’s claims about its responsible farmland investments and to show how such financial investment in farmland is detrimental.

The Harvard University endowment fund is another big farmland player, second only to TIAA in the scale and geographic spread of its farmland holdings. Harvard, however, managed all of its farmland deals internally and the managers who orchestrated most of the deals have left the company (only to form a new company that is now drawing in pension fund money for farmland and timber acquisitions in Latin America!).\footnote{For more on Harvard’s farmland deals see: GRAIN and Rede Social de Justiça e Direitos Humanos, “Harvard’s billion-dollar farmland fiasco,” 6 September 2018: https://www.grain.org/article/entries/6006-harvard-s-billion-dollar-farmland-fiasco. The new company formed by Harvard’s ex-farmland investment managers is Folium Capital, which has received investments from the New Mexico Educational Retirement Board. See: Real Assets, “New Mexico Educational invests in Folium and Stonepeak funds,” August 2017: https://realassets.ipe.com/news/investors/new-mexico-educational-invests-in-folium-and-stonepeak-funds/realassets.ipe.com/news/investors/new-mexico-educational-invests-in-folium-and-stonepeak-funds/10020384.fullarticle} This increases the likelihood that Harvard could exit entirely from farmland if pressure is sustained, not least because of its embarrassment over the financial losses that many of its farmland deals seem to have generated.

Most pension funds are still sitting on the fence about farmland investment or timidly testing the waters. And the scandals engulfing two of the pioneer financial investors in global farmland, TIAA and Harvard, are, hopefully, contributing to their hesitations. But this is not a matter that should be left to the board rooms of pension fund managers. The social consequences of the ongoing farmland grab by the financial sector are profound, and should be a subject of public debate. In many parts of the world, previous generations of farmers fought for laws and regulations preventing pension funds and other corporations from buying farmland, at the national, state, provincial or local level. We must urgently revitalise these struggles in the face of today’s unprecedented take over of farmland by corporate actors and financial speculators. Leaving it to these companies to police themselves with voluntary guidelines is a recipe for disaster.

It is also time to ask some deeper questions about how pensions, or perhaps more accurately, deferred wages, are organised. Pensions were a hard fought victory for labour movements in some parts of the world, but they have also played a dual role by supporting the growth and power of global finance, which has been hugely destructive to working people.\footnote{For a good discussion of whether workers and their organisations can exert meaningful control over pension funds in the context of current financial markets and a discussion of alternatives see: Kevin Skerrett, Chris Roberts, Johanna Weststar and Simon Archer, The Contradictions of Pension Fund Capitalism, Cornell University Press, 2017.} Moreover, the percentage of workers with real pension security these days is small and getting smaller. We are thus at an important moment to rethink and bring together two strands of activism: the struggles for social policies that can ensure adequate financial security for all elderly people and the struggles for savings systems that make social investments in the interests of working people everywhere (including farmers).
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Map: Pension fund farmland investments around the world
GRAIN is a small international non-profit organisation that works to support small farmers and social movements in their struggles for community-controlled and biodiversity-based food systems. GRAIN produces several reports each year. They are substantial research documents providing in-depth background information and analysis on a given topic.

The complete collection of GRAIN reports can be found on our website at http://www.grain.org/article/categories/14-reports
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